University World News



29 August 2017

Register to receive our free newsletter by email each week

Global Edition / News / World Round-up / Features / Commentary / World Blogs / Student View / Academic Freedom / Letters

Special Reports / Special Editions / Africa Edition / Asia Hub / Transformative Leadership / Archives / HE Events / About / Contact

GLOBAL

Universities must stand up to Chinese censorship

Bruce Macfarlane 25 August 2017 Issue No:471









13 Share

Join us on

facebook

Follow us on twitter

News Feeds





Disclaimer

All reader responses posted on this site are those of the reader ONLY and NOT those of University World News or Higher Education Web Publishing, their associated trademarks, websites and services. University World News or Higher Education Web Publishing does not necessarily endorse, support, sanction, encourage, verify or agree with any comments, opinions or statements or other content provided by readers.

Cambridge University Press, or CUP, recently found itself at the centre of a storm of controversy when it tamely acceded to the demands of the censorship arm of the mainland Chinese government to remove 300 articles from the Chinese website version of one of its well-respected journals, *The China Quarterly*.

Following critical press coverage and protests from academics, CUP made a sharp U-turn, claiming that the decision was only a temporary measure. Whatever the excuses, the incident is a worrying illustration of the lengths Beijing will go to in order to shore up the Great Firewall of China.

Prominent among the titles of the articles identified for censorship were the so-called three 'T's': Taiwan, Tibet and Tiananmen. Of the 300 articles released by CUP, I counted at least 33 with the word Taiwan in their title, 47 about Tibet and a further 26 that mentioned the word Tiananmen, a reference to the massacre of student-led protestors there in 1989.

Along with historical analysis of the Cultural Revolution, these are taboo subjects as far as the mainland Chinese government is concerned. Any discussion, debate and academic analysis are considered beyond the pale.

Yet, despite the reversal of the original decision of the CUP to block the 300 articles, the mainland Chinese government has been adept at stifling debate and public awareness about these topics. More worrying still is the level of complicity in the West, both by governments and major organisations, in appeasing the censors in Beijing.

The first of the 'T's' is Taiwan, otherwise known as the Republic of China. Unlike the Communist mainland, Taiwan has a multi-party democracy yet it is only recognised by a small handful of countries around the world. The United Kingdom is not one of them and nor is any European country with the exception of the Holy See in Rome.

This is because Beijing regards Taiwan as a renegade province and pressurises other nations to cut their ties with it. Most comply, conveniently ignoring Taiwan's democratic credentials in favour of more lucrative economic ties with the mainland. Panama was the latest country that chose to do this in June.

If you would like University World News to be the media partner for your international conference, contact us on this link

This Week



Universities must not allow China to suppress academic freedom through its censorship

Jonathan Jansen probes the underlying causes of South Africa's student protests in his new book



Top stories from last week's

CONFERENCE

Reach out to almost

49,000 registered colleagues worldwide

Click here for our competitive rates

THAILAND International academic conference participants charged

edition

GLOBAL

Universities must move from teaching to transforming

GLOBAL

Asian countries increase share of top 500 universities

EUROPE-UNITED KINGDOM

Shorter periods abroad could widen student mobility

JAPAN

How do university leaders view internationalisation?

SOUTH KOREA

The 'pig mum' and the problem of



Beijing also insists that Taiwan is referred to as 'Chinese Taipei', a demand that is slavishly followed by organisations ranging from the World Health Organization to the Miss Earth beauty pageant. Even the 13 Taiwanese tennis players at this year's Wimbledon were labelled as from 'Chinese Taipei'.

Chinese state control of the web and social media means that information about protests about the second 'T', Tibet, are routinely suppressed. But again there is plenty of evidence that the West is appearing and colluding with this censorship.

In 2016 a screenwriter for the Marvel Studios movie, *Doctor Strange*, admitted that the story's original references to Tibet had been changed in a deliberate attempt to avoid causing any offence to Beijing.

The Apple store in Beijing removed an app which gave access to books about Tibet among other titles. *The Financial Times* reported this was linked to access the app had given to three books by Wang Lixiong, a well-known critic of China's policy on Tibet.

The initial decision of CUP to censor its own journal would have further reduced the already limited access of mainland Chinese to academic analysis about their own country.

I learnt about how little the mainland Chinese knew about the third 'T' – Tiananmen – when I worked at the University of Hong Kong, or HKU, a few years ago. I asked one of my mainland Chinese PhD students to show a visiting professor around the campus, including the so-called 'Pillar of Shame', a Tiananmen Square memorial statue erected by students at HKU in 2009. She later told me that she had never even heard about the Tiananmen Square massacre before arriving in Hong Kong.

It is this degree of ignorance, even among its graduates, that the mainland Chinese government wants to maintain.

Self-censorship

The CUP controversy is just the latest high-profile example of the complicity of the West in helping Beijing to close down debate. The wider threat to academic freedom within the academic community is self-censorship: skating over or simply avoiding controversial or taboo subjects in teaching or research that might cause offence.

In reality this is much more insidious and widespread than direct censorship. The majority of PhD students in Hong Kong, for example, are from the mainland and there are also large numbers now studying in the West. These PhD students will shortly swell the ranks of university academics all over the world. Yet, how free will they be to speak their minds?

Academic freedom is easy to exercise when one has little to lose, although there will always be a handful brave enough to speak out whatever the personal risks. But how unfettered do Chinese academics feel to exercise academic freedom if they still have family ties in mainland China, regardless of whether they have a Western passport?

Even if they hold citizenship at a safe distance, their relatives back in mainland China may pay the price through harassment and other forms of retribution if they choose to exercise their academic freedom abroad.

Universities in the UK have been major beneficiaries of the gravy train in students coming to study in the West from mainland China. But if universities still believe in their own founding principles – enlightenment and precious knowledge in the case of the University of Cambridge which owns CUP – they need to demonstrate this in the way they deal with naked attempts to shut down debate and academic freedom.

UK universities need to make themselves heard in post-Brexit free trade agreements



Sending postgrads to study in the West has created a dichotomy among Syrian academics



Study shows learning is a skill that can be readily grasped and taught in online courses



Latest THE rankings of Latin American universities show dominance of private HE



excessive competition

GLOBAL

Decolonise minds before living and learning spaces

CHINA

China pressures respected journal to censor articles

UNITED STATES

University leaders fear rise of hate events on campus

AUSTRALIA-NEW ZEALAND

Universities raise their investment in fundraising

This ought to apply as much in opening up our own Western taboos to scrutiny – such as the legacy of slavery and colonialism – as in ensuring that those subjects regarded as off-limits by Beijing are debated openly and freely.

Bruce Macfarlane is professor of higher education at the University of Southampton, United Kingdom.

Related Links

CHINA

CUP reverses China censorship after academic uproar

CHINA

China pressures respected journal to censor articles

CHINA

Research could suffer as internet controls tightened

CHINA

China restricts academic access to historical archives

Receive UWN's free weekly e-newsletters

Email address		*
First name		*
Last name		*
Post code / Zip code		*
Country	Select a country	\$
Organisation / institution		*
Job title	Select	\$ *
Please send me UWN's	Global Edition Africa Edition	O Both
	I receive my email on my mobile ph	one
☐ I have read the Terms & Conditions *		
	I'm not a robot	reCAPTCHA Privacy - Terms
		Subscribe

More needs to be done to ensure that refugees have access to higher education



Universities in Japan launch study into the motives behind research misconduct



Interview with Nelson Torto – New executive director of the African Academy of Sciences

Advertising / Sponsorship / Terms and Conditions / Contact our advertising team

ISSN 1756-297X